

STATUS OF CASES ARGUED
BEFORE THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
OCTOBER TERM, 2009

The following charts summarize the final disposition of all cases argued before the Supreme Court of the United States during October Term 2009 (and also include one case from the 2008 Term—*Citizens United v. Federal Elections Commission*, No. 08–205—that was reargued shortly before the 2009 Term began). The 2009 Term began on October 5, 2009 and is scheduled to end in late June or early July, 2010.

Court-watchers often find that they can predict who will be the author of the final opinions issued from each session based on the previous authorship distribution, because typically each Justice is assigned at least one majority opinion per session. Because the author of the final opinion is not always the same Justice who initially received the writing assignment, and because the Court sometimes departs from its usual patterns for various reasons (including assigning extra opinions to Justices who are more current on their assignments than other Justices), the exercise of predicting the author of a not-yet-released majority opinion is always one with a high potential for error. Nevertheless, experience has shown that the predictive exercise yields correct results often enough to make it interesting, even if not totally reliable.

The first chart below summarizes the Term, with each session represented by a column. The chart lists the number of cases that were or will be argued during the session, the number resolved to date, and how those cases were resolved—how many majority opinions each Justice drafted, and the number resolved *per curiam* or otherwise without a majority opinion.

The remaining tables present more detailed information on each session of the Term than is included in the summary table. Each session is presented on its own page, and includes a row for each case argued—specifying the case’s name, the date of argument, a brief summary of the issue presented, and, for those cases already decided, the date of decision and the author of the majority opinion. The next table includes similar information for opinions issued during the Term where oral argument was never conducted. A final table includes information about the cases in which the Court has granted certiorari but has not yet set a date for oral argument.

We try to update these charts when the Court schedules additional cases for oral argument or issues an opinion. We hope that you find this document useful, and welcome your feedback on it (which should be directed to dgossett@mayerbrown.com or kranlett@mayerbrown.com).

**SUMMARY TABLE
OCTOBER TERM, 2009***

	Sept. 9 (from OT08)	<i>Session beginning:</i>							NA	Tot.
		Oct. 5	Nov. 2	Nov. 30	Jan. 11	Feb. 22	Mar. 22	Apr. 19		
TOTAL ARGUMENTS SCHEDULED	1	14	13	10	10	13	12			73
ROBERTS			1							1
STEVENS										0
SCALIA										0
KENNEDY										0
THOMAS										0
GINSBURG		1	1							2
BREYER		2								2
ALITO										0
SOTOMAYOR		1								1
PC		1							6	7
DIG										0
D										0
AEDC										0
R										0
Disposed of	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	13
Pending	1	9	11	10	10	13	12	0	0	66

* This chart includes one case from the 2008 Term that was re-argued shortly prior to the start of the 2009 Term.

KEY

- PC = Per curiam
- DIG = Dismissed as improvidently granted
- D = Dismissed for other reasons
- AEDC = Affirmed by an equally divided Court
- R = Set for reargument

DETAILED CASE DISPOSITION TABLES

1: Special session on September 9, 2009 (October Term 2008)

Case Name	Docket Number	Issue	Argument Date	Decision Date	Author
<i>Citizens United v. Fed. Elections Comm'n</i>	08-205	First Amendment challenge to limit on corporations' independent electioneering expenditures during campaigns for the Presidency or Congress	9/9		

*Status of Cases Argued Before The United States
Supreme Court
October Term, 2009*

MAYER • BROWN

2: Session beginning October 5, 2009

Case Name	Docket Number	Issue	Argument Date	Decision Date	Author
<i>South Carolina v. North Carolina</i>	138 Orig.	Intervention by non-states in cases between states in Court's original jurisdiction	10/5		
<i>Maryland v. Shatzer</i>	08-680	Expiration of bar on police interrogation after accused has invoked right to counsel once nearly three years have elapsed	10/5		
<i>Mohawk Indus. Inc. v. Carpenter</i>	08-678	Right to interlocutory appeal of order to disclose materials alleged to be protected by attorney-client privilege	10/5	12/8	Sotomayor
<i>United States v. Stevens</i>	08-769	Facial First Amendment challenge to statute criminalizing creation, possession, and sale of depictions of illegal animal cruelty that lack serious religious, political, scientific, educational, journalistic, historical, or artistic value	10/6		
<i>Johnson v. United States</i>	08-6925	State supreme court's determination that offense does not have use or threat of force as an element as precluding use of that offense as a "violent felony" under the Armed Career Criminal Act, and whether simple battery is per se a violent felony	10/6		
<i>Bloate v. United States</i>	08-728	Excludability of time preparing pretrial motions from Speedy Trial Act 70-day deadline for commencing trial	10/6		
<i>Salazar v. Buono</i>	08-472	Standing to bring Establishment Clause challenge to religious display on government land, and permissibility of transfer of display to private party	10/7		
<i>Reed Elsevier Inc. v. Muchnick</i>	08-103	Restriction by 17 U.S.C. § 411(a) of courts' subject matter jurisdiction over copyright infringement actions	10/7		
<i>Union Pac. R.R. Co. v. Bhd. of Locomotive Eng'rs</i>	08-604	Railway Labor Act as authorization for vacating arbitral awards that violate due process and retroactivity of Board's interpretation of own standard	10/7	12/8	Ginsburg

*Status of Cases Argued Before The United States
Supreme Court
October Term, 2009*

MAYER • BROWN

<i>McDaniel v. Brown</i>	08-559	Standard of review under AEDPA of sufficiency-of-the-evidence claim, and habeas court's power to consider nonrecord evidence	10/13	1/11	PC
<i>Padilla v. Kentucky</i>	08-651	Failure to advise client that guilty plea may lead to deportation as ineffective assistance of counsel	10/13		
<i>Smith v. Spisak</i>	08-724	Permissibility under AEDPA of extending <i>Maryland v. Mills</i> to "unanimity" and "acquittal first" instructions	10/13	1/12	Breyer
<i>Alvarez v. Smith</i>	08-351	Indefinite retention of seized personal property by law enforcement without judicial or administrative review as due process violation	10/14	12/8	Breyer
<i>Perdue v. Kenny A.</i>	08-970	Enhancement of statutory award of attorneys' fees for quality of performance and results	10/4		

**Status of Cases Argued Before The United States
Supreme Court**

MAYER • BROWN

October Term, 2009

3: Session beginning November 2, 2009

Case Name	Docket Number	Issue	Argument Date	Decision Date	Author
<i>Jones v. Harris Assocs. L.P.</i>	08-586	Excessive-fee claims under Section 36(b) of the Investment Company Act when advisor not alleged to have misled fund directors	11/2		
<i>Shady Grove Orthopedic Assoc. v. AllState Ins. Co.</i>	08-1008	Enforceability of state law barring class actions for state-law claims in federal court	11/2		
<i>Beard v. Kindler</i>	08-992	Discretionary state procedural rule as an “adequate and independent” state ground barring postconviction relief	11/2	12/8	Roberts
<i>NRG Power Mkt. v. Maine Pub. Utils.</i>	08-674	Applicability of presumption that freely negotiated rate is “just and reasonable” to rate challenge brought by non-contracting third party	11/3	1/13	Ginsburg
<i>Schwab v. Reilly</i>	08-538	Timing and scope of trustee’s objections to debtor’s claim of exempt property under Chapter 7	11/3		
<i>Hemi Group, LLC v. City of New York</i>	08-969	Non-commercial injury to city from nonpayment of taxes by third parties as direct injury to city’s “business or property” required for standing under RICO	11/3		
<i>Pottawattamie Cty. v. McGhee</i>	08-1065	Prosecutorial immunity from civil suit for allegedly procuring and presenting false testimony	11/4		
<i>Wood v. Allen</i>	08-9156	Failure by death penalty counsel to present evidence of client’s mental impairment as ineffective assistance of counsel	11/4		
<i>Graham v. Florida</i>	08-7412	Life imprisonment without the possibility of parole of juvenile for committing non-homicide crime as “cruel and unusual” punishment violating the Eighth Amendment	11/9		
<i>Sullivan v. Florida</i>	08-7621	Life imprisonment without the possibility of parole of 13 year old for committing non-homicide crime as “cruel and unusual” punishment violating the Eighth Amendment	11/9		
<i>Bilski v. Kappos</i>	08-964	Propriety of machine-or-transformation test for patent eligibility	11/9		

*Status of Cases Argued Before The United States
Supreme Court
October Term, 2009*

MAYER • BROWN

<i>Kucana v. Holder</i>	08-911	Scope of jurisdiction-stripping provision of 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(B)(ii) and reviewability of BIA's rulings on motions to reopen	11/10		
<i>Hertz Corp. v. Friend</i>	08-1107	Test for determining the principal place of business of a corporation for jurisdictional purposes	11/10		

**Status of Cases Argued Before The United States
Supreme Court**

MAYER • BROWN

October Term, 2009

4: Session beginning November 30, 2009

Case Name	Docket Number	Issue	Argument Date	Decision Date	Author
<i>Graham County Soil & Water Conservation Dist. v. United States ex rel. Wilson</i>	08-304	Audit or report by state or local—rather than federal—government as triggering public-disclosure jurisdictional bar of False Claims Act	11/30		
<i>Merck & Co. v. Reynolds</i>	08-905	Investor’s notice of scienter as triggering statute of limitations for securities fraud claim	11/30		
<i>Milavetz, Gallop & Milavetz, P.A. v. United States</i> <i>United States v. Milavetz, Gallop & Milavetz, P.A.</i>	08-1119 08-12245	First Amendment challenge to statute barring attorneys from advising debtors to assume more debt prior to filing for bankruptcy	12/1		
<i>United Student Aid Funds, Inc. v. Espinosa</i>	08-1134	Availability of discharge of debt for student loan without showing that repayment would constitute an “undue hardship” in a separate adversary proceeding	12/1		
<i>Stop the Beach Renourishment, Inc. v. Florida</i>	08-1151	Governmental restoration of eroded beachfront that modifies private property boundary lines as a taking	12/2		
<i>Free Enterprise Fund v. Public Co. Accounting Oversight Bd.</i>	08-861	Separation-of-powers challenge to method of selecting and removing members of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board mandated by Sarbanes-Oxley	12/7		
<i>Florida v. Powell</i>	08-1175	Failure to advise suspect of right to counsel during the interrogation as violation of <i>Miranda</i>	12/7		
<i>Black v. United States</i>	08-876	Applicability of deprivation-of-honest-services provision of mail-fraud statute to defendants whom jury did not find to have reasonably contemplated identifiable economic harm, and preservation of challenge when defendant objected to special verdict	12/8		
<i>Weyhrauch v. United States</i>	08-1196	Violation of a state-law duty of disclosure as an element of a state official’s deprivation of honest services through non-disclosure of material information in violation of the mail-fraud statute	12/8		

*Status of Cases Argued Before The United States
Supreme Court
October Term, 2009*

MAYER • BROWN

<i>Stolt-Nielsen, S.A. v. AnimalFeeds Int'l Corp.</i>	08-1198	Permissibility under Federal Arbitration Act of imposing class arbitration upon parties to arbitration clause that is silent on availability of class procedures	12/9		
---	---------	--	------	--	--

**Status of Cases Argued Before The United States
Supreme Court**

MAYER • BROWN

October Term, 2009

5: Session beginning January 11, 2010

Case Name	Docket Number	Issue	Argument Date	Decision Date	Author
<i>Alabama v. North Carolina</i>	132, Orig.	Non-state's joinder in original action by state against another state, and whether regional compact on disposal of radioactive waste authorizes monetary sanction against state for breaching compact	1/11		
<i>Briscoe v. Virginia</i>	07-11191	Constitutionality of Virginia procedure requiring accused to assert demand to question crime lab technician	1/11		
<i>United States v. Comstock</i>	08-1224	Constitutionality of federal law authorizing civil commitment of "sexually dangerous" persons who are either nearing the end of their prison terms or were found incompetent to stand trial	1/12		
<i>Abbott v. Abbott</i>	08-645	<i>Ne exeat</i> clause as conferring "right of custody" under Hague Convention on International Child Abduction	1/12		
<i>Am. Needle, Inc. v. Nat'l Football League</i>	08-661	NFL and its teams as a "single entity" that is not subject to rule-of-reason claims under Section 1 of the Sherman Act when they grant an exclusive license of consumer headwear with team logos	1/13		
<i>Jerman v. Carlisle</i>	08-1200	Debt collector's legal error as trigger for the "bona fide error" defense under the Federal Debt Collection Practices Act	1/13		
<i>Mac's Shell Serv., Inc. v. Shell Oil Prods. Co.</i> <i>Shell Oil Prods. Co. v. Mac's Shell Serv., Inc.</i>	08-240 08-372	Franchisee gas station owner's recovery for "constructive discharge" against franchisor under Petroleum Marketing Practices Act when franchisee signs and operates under renewed agreement	1/19		
<i>Granite Rock Co. v. Int'l Bh'd of Teamsters</i>	08-1214	Arbitrability of the issue of contract formation of a tentative collective-bargaining agreement, and liability of international union for tortiously interfering with local union's agreement	1/19		

*Status of Cases Argued Before The United States
Supreme Court
October Term, 2009*

MAYER • BROWN

<i>Berghuis v. Smith</i>	08-1402	Use of “comparative disparity” test to reject a state prisoner’s postconviction challenge to his conviction on the ground that the jury did not satisfy the Sixth Amendment’s “fair cross section” requirement	1/20		
<i>Conkright v. Frommert</i>	08-810	Level of deference to ERISA plan administrator’s interpretation of plan language when calculating additional benefits due under the plan as a result of an ERISA violation	1/20		

**Status of Cases Argued Before The United States
Supreme Court**

MAYER • BROWN

October Term, 2009

6: Session beginning February 22, 2010

Case Name	Docket Number	Issue	Argument Date	Decision Date	Author
<i>Astrue v. Ratliff</i>	08-1322	Whether statutory award of attorneys' fees to a prevailing plaintiff is subject to offset for plaintiff's pre-existing debt	2/22		
<i>Lewis v. City of Chicago</i>	08-974	Whether 300-day period to file disparate-impact charge with EEOC starts on date that employer announces or uses the challenged practice	2/22		
<i>Holder v. Humanitarian Law Project</i> <i>Humanitarian Law Project v. Holder</i>	08-1498 09-89	Vagueness challenge to law criminalizing the knowing provision of any "service," "training" or "expert advice" to a designated foreign terrorist organization, and Free Speech Clause challenge to conviction based on speech that furthered only the lawful, nonviolent activities of the organization	2/23		
<i>United States v. O'Brien</i>	08-1569	Whether finding that gun used in offense is a machine gun, which triggers 30-year mandatory minimum sentence, must be found by jury beyond a reasonable doubt rather than by a judge by a preponderance of the evidence	2/23		
<i>Carr v. United States</i>	08-1301	<i>Ex post facto</i> challenge to prosecution for failing to register as a sex offender when conviction predates passage of law requiring registration	2/24		
<i>United States v. Marcus</i>	08-1341	Standard for plain-error review of Ex Post Facto challenge under Fed. R. Crim. P. 52(b)	2/24		
<i>Berghuis v. Thompkins</i>	08-1470	Whether <i>Miranda</i> permits an officer non-coercively to persuade an arrestee to cooperate after arrestee acknowledges his <i>Miranda</i> rights but neither waives nor invokes them	3/1		
<i>Holland v. Florida</i>	09-5327	"Gross negligence" by appointed counsel in filing habeas petition as basis for equitable tolling of filing deadline	3/1		

*Status of Cases Argued Before The United States
Supreme Court
October Term, 2009*

MAYER • BROWN

<i>Skilling v. United States</i>	08-1394	Private gain as element of offense of deprivation of "honest services" fraud statute, and if not, whether that statute is vague; burden of proof of jury prejudice from pretrial publicity	3/1		
<i>McDonald v. City of Chicago</i>	08-1521	Applicability of Second Amendment to state and local governments	3/2		
<i>Hui v. Castaneda</i>	08-1529	Federal Tort Claims Act as exclusive remedy for claims arising from medical care provided by Public Health Service employees	3/2		
<i>Samantar v. Yousuf</i>	08-1555	Applicability of foreign sovereign immunity to individual acting in official capacity on behalf of foreign state when individual no longer has that position at the time the suit is filed	3/3		
<i>Health Care Serv. Corp. v. Pollitt</i>	09-38	Removability under complete preemption doctrine or federal officer removal statute of state court action against government contractor administering federal employees' benefits	3/3		

**Status of Cases Argued Before The United States
Supreme Court**

MAYER • BROWN

October Term, 2009

7: Session beginning March 22, 2010

Case Name	Docket Number	Issue	Argument Date	Decision Date	Author
<i>Hamilton v. Lanning</i>	08-998	Permissibility of considering evidence that debtor's "projected disposable income" during the plan period will be different than during the pre-filing period	3/22		
<i>Levin v. Commerce Energy, Inc.</i>	09-223	Tax Injunction Act or comity principles as bar to federal jurisdiction over constitutional challenge to denial of specific exemptions to four taxpayers rather than challenge to their tax assessments	3/22		
<i>Kiyemba v. Obama</i>	08-1234	Whether federal court may order detainees held indefinitely by U.S. at Guantanamo Bay to be released into the continental U.S.	3/23		
<i>New Process Steel, L.P. v. NLRB</i>	08-1457	Whether three-member quorum of NLRB may delegate full powers to two-member group when remaining three positions are unfilled	3/23		
<i>Kawasaki Kisen Kaisha Ltd. v. Regal-Beloit Corp.</i> <i>Union Pac. R. Co. v. Regal-Beloit Corp.</i>	08-1553 08-1554	Application of Carmack Amendment to inland rail leg of an intermodal shipment from overseas where the shipment was made under a "through" bill of lading issued by an ocean carrier that extended the Carriage of Goods By Sea Act to the inland leg, there was no domestic bill of lading for rail transportation, and the ocean carrier privately subtracted for rail transportation	3/24		
<i>Magwood v. Culliver</i>	09-158	Forfeiture of challenge to sentence by failing to assert it in earlier habeas proceeding that resulted in resentencing on other grounds	3/24		
<i>Morrison v. Nat'l Australia Bank</i>	08-1191	Application of U.S. securities laws to foreign investors who purchased stocks in foreign companies on foreign exchanges	3/29		
<i>Renico v. Lett</i>	09-338	Habeas relief on double jeopardy grounds when mistrial declared after jury foreperson said that jury was hopelessly deadlocked	3/29		

*Status of Cases Argued Before The United States
Supreme Court
October Term, 2009*

MAYER • BROWN

<i>Dillon v. United States</i>	09-6338	Whether U.S. Sentencing Guidelines are mandatory in sentence-modification proceedings	3/30		
<i>Barber v. Thomas</i>	09-5201	Whether good time credits should be calculated on the basis of the sentence imposed or on the basis of the time served	3/30		
<i>Carachuri-Rosendo v. Holder</i>	09-60	Conviction under state law for simple drug possession as “aggravated felony” under Immigration and Nationality Act on theory he could have been convicted of recidivist possession	3/31		
<i>Robertson v. United States ex rel. Watson</i>	08-6261	Constitutionality of action for criminal contempt in a congressionally created court brought in the name of a private person	3/31		

*Status of Cases Argued Before The United States
Supreme Court
October Term, 2009*

MAYER • BROWN

8: Cases not on the argument calendar

Case Name	Docket Number	Issue	Decision Date	Author
<i>Corcoran v. Levenhagen</i>	08-10495	Right of death-sentenced inmate to obtain federal habeas review of issues that district court did not consider because it granted writ on another ground that was later reversed on appeal	10/20	PC
<i>Bobby v. Van Hook</i>	09-144	Reliance on ABA guidelines for capital defense attorneys that postdate trial to challenge effectiveness of counsel	11/9	PC
<i>Wong v. Belmontes</i>	08-1263	Constitutional sufficiency of defense counsel who elected not to present alternative theory of the crime that conflicted with client's testimony and which would have opened the door to evidence regarding excluded prior crimes	11/16	PC
<i>Porter v. McCollum</i>	08-10537	Effectiveness of defense counsel who failed to present evidence of client's post-traumatic stress disorder	11/30	PC
<i>Michigan v. Fisher</i>	09-91	"Emergency aid" exception to Fourth Amendment's warrant requirement	12/7	PC
<i>Hollingsworth v. Perry</i>	09A648	Right to stay pending petition for certiorari challenging order webcasting trial of challenge to ballot initiative banning same-sex marriage	1/13	PC

***Status of Cases Argued Before The United States
Supreme Court***

MAYER • BROWN

October Term, 2009

9: Cases in which certiorari has been granted but for which argument has not yet been scheduled

Case Name	Docket Number	Issue
<i>Christian Legal Soc’y v. Martinez</i>	08-1371	First Amendment challenge to state-run law school’s denial of funding and official status to a student religious group that requires officers and voting members to share its beliefs
<i>City of Ontario v. Quon</i>	08-1332	Whether the police officer to whom text messages are sent via his or her employer’s pager, and sender of those messages, have reasonable expectation of privacy
<i>Dolan v. United States</i>	09-367	Enforceability of restitution order entered after statutory cut-off of 90 days after sentencing
<i>Krupski v. Crociere</i>	09-337	“Relation back” of amendment to complaint adding a new defendant on the basis of a “mistake concerning the proper party’s identity” when the plaintiff had imputed knowledge of the added defendant
<i>Hardt v. Reliance Standard Life Ins. Co.</i>	09-448	Limitation of statutory attorneys’ fees under ERISA to prevailing parties, and whether fees may be awarded when the district court rules that the plaintiff is a prevailing party, remands for a re-determination of benefits, and then the defendant accedes to the relief sought
<i>Monsanto Co. v. Geertson Seed Farms</i>	09-475	Propriety of permanent injunction under the National Environmental Policy Act against the planting of genetically engineered crop without a showing of a likelihood of irreparable harm
<i>Rent-A-Center, West, Inc. v. Jackson</i>	09-497	Whether unconscionability of a form arbitration agreement is a question for the court even when the agreement expressly assigns questions as to the validity of the agreement to the arbitrator
<i>Doe v. Reed</i>	09-559	First Amendment challenge to public disclosure of petition signers